REMARKS

The aforementioned deletions and insertions to the Title Page, Abstract, and the Specification are believed to be in compliance with CFR 1.121. In order to clearly set fourth the amended portions of the specification, applicants have enclosed a copy with corrective markings. Applicants authorize the examiner to replace the entire rewritten text of the specification as it is presented, without corrective markings, in the accompanying replacement copy of the Specification.

The applicants have amended certain descriptions in the Specification with the objective of presenting a full, clear and complete description of the cultivar in order to comply with 37 CFR 1.163 and 35 U.S.C. 112 and to overcome the objections listed in the Office Action dated 12/17/2004. Specifically:

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph A of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to state that the new plant originated as a single seedling from the stated cross.

In response to the advice set forth in paragraph B of the Office Action, the Specification has been reviewed for spelling and grammatical errors.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph C of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to refer to patented rose varieties in the manner suggested.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph D of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to refer to the illustrations as the first and second drawing.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph E of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended describe the flower bud shape in an acceptable botanical term.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph F of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to clearly and correctly describe the peduncle as well as the pedicel, with respect to length, width, texture, and color.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph G of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to describe the flower form, using the accepted botanical terms.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph H of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to remove the recitations regarding petal base coloration.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph I of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to correct grammar and spelling.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph J of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to set fourth the petal shape, as well as shapes of the petaloid apecies and bases.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph K of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to quantify the occurrence of leaves on the stem.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph L of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to set fourth the apex and base shape of the stipules.

In response to the objections set forth in paragraph M of the Office Action, the Specification has been amended to provide additional botanical descriptive data referring to the color of the petiole and rachis undersides.

For all the reasons listed above, the applicants respectfully submit that the errors in the Specification are corrected, and that the claims comply with Section 112. The application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and notice thereof is respectfully requested.

Applicants have enclosed a properly executed statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b), which establishes evidence that the undersigned is authorized to act on behalf of the assignee, Poulsen Roser A/S.

Respectfully submitted,

Ken Rynearson

Poulsen Roser Pacific, Inc.

Correspondence with:

Poulsen Roser Pacific, Inc.

620 S. Front Street

Central Point, Oregon 97502

U.S.A.

TEL: 541-245-8050

FAX: 541-665-2252